## **NoBS Statistics**

# Calculus-based Introductory Probability & Statistics

Jeffrey Wang

January 8, 2019 – April 1, 2021 version 2021.04.01.23:01 *First edition* 

# **Contents**

Αι	ıthor	's Notes	1							
1	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	Introduction and descriptive statistics Introduction	1 1 1 1 2							
2	Prol	bability	3							
	2.1	Sample space, and events	3							
	2.2	Set theory	3							
	2.3	Axioms of probability	4							
	2.4	Subsequent properties of probability	4							
	2.5	Combinatorics	4							
	2.6	Conditional probability	5							
	2.7	Independence	6							
3	Ran	dom variables	7							
	3.1	Introduction to random variables	7							
	3.2	Expected values	7							
		Expected value of functions	8							
		Expected value of a linear function	8							
		Expected value of a quadratic function	8							
	3.3	Variance	8							
		Variance of a linear function	8							
4	Discrete random variables and their distributions									
	4.1	Probability mass functions (pmf) and discrete cumulative distribution functions								
		(cdf)	9							
		Probability mass functions (pmf)	9							
		Cumulative distribution functions (cdf)	9							
		Parameters	9							
		Calculating discrete expected value and variance	10							
	4.2	Bernoulli distribution	10							
	4.3	Binomial distribution	11							
	4.4	Hypergeometric and negative binomial distributions	11							
		Hypergeometric distribution	11							
		Negative binomial distribution	12							

iv CONTENTS

		Geometric distribution	
	4.5	Poisson distribution	
	4.6	Summary of discrete probability distributions	13
5	Con	tinuous random variables and their distributions	15
	5.1	Probability distribution functions (pdf) and continuous cumulative distribution	
		functions (cdf)	15
		Probability distribution functions (pdf)	15
			15
		Calculating continuous expected values and variances	16
	5.2	Uniform distribution	16
	5.3	Normal distribution	16
		Standard normal distribution	17
	5.4	1 '0 '	17
		Gamma distribution	17
			18
		Chi-squared distribution ( $\chi^2$ )	18
			18
	5.5	Summary of common continuous probability distributions	20
6	Ioin	t probability distributions	21
Ŭ	6.1	Two joint random variables	
	6.2	Independent random variables	
	6.3	Conditional distributions	
	6.4	Expected values for joint random variables	
	6.5		23
	6.6	Conditional expected values, variances, and covariances	
	0.0	Law of iterated expectations	
		Law of iterated variances	
			24
7	Deri	ved distributions	25
	7.1		25
	7.2	Linear derived distributions	25
8	Limi	it theorems	27
	8.1	Central limit theorem	27
	8.2		27
	8.3	1 /	27
9	Poin	at estimations	29
,	9.1		29
	9.1		29
	۶.∠	Maximum memiood estillation	<b>∠</b> 9
10		sical inferential statistics	31
			31
	10.2	Hypothesis testing	
		Type I and II errors	33

CONTENTS	V
----------	---

	10.3 Critical value method	
11	Bayesian inferential statistics	37
	Regression  12.1 Linear regression in two dimensions	

vi *CONTENTS* 

## **Author's Notes**

#### **NoBS**

NoBS, short for "no bull\$#!%", strives for succinct guides that use simple, smaller, relatable concepts to develop a full understanding of overarching concepts.

#### What NoBS Statistics covers

This guide succinctly and comprehensively covers most topics in an explanatory notes format for a college-level introductory calculus-based probability and statistics course.

### **Prerequisites**

You don't need any prior probability or statistics experience, although the experience you had in elementary, middle, and perhaps high school would be helpful. AP Statistics does not greatly benefit you in this material matter.

However, this is a calculus-based course, so at the very least, you should have taken Calculus 2. For certain sections in this study guide, Multivariable Calculus is necessary. (In particular, joint probability distributions will use double integrals, and you should know Fubini's Theorem and the like.)

## What this study guide does

It explains all the concepts to you in an intuitive way so you understand the course material better.

If you are a mathematics major, it is recommended you read a proof-based book.

If you are not a mathematics major, this study guide is intended to make your life easier.

### What this study guide does not do

This study guide is not intended as a replacement for a textbook. This study guide does not teach via proofs; rather, it teaches by concepts. If you are looking for a formalized, proof-based textbook, seek other sources.

ii AUTHOR'S NOTES

### Other study resources

NoBS Statistics should by no means be your sole study material. Refer to your textbook for further studying.

#### **Dedication**

To all those that helped me in life: this is for you.

#### **Sources**

This guide has been inspired by, and in some cases borrows, certain material from the following sources, which are indicated below and will be referenced throughout this guide by parentheses and their names when necessary:

- Probability and Statistics for Engineering and the Sciences (9th ed.) by Jay L. Devore
- Introduction to Probability by Dimitri P. Bertsekas and John N. Tsitsiklis
- Some inline citations do not appear here but next to their borrowed content.

### Copyright and resale

This study guide is free for use but copyright the author, except for sections borrowed from other sources. The PDF version of this study guide may not be resold under any circumstances. If you paid for the PDF, request a refund from whomever sold it to you. The only acceptable sale of this study guide is for a physical copy as done so by the author or with his permission.

# Introduction and descriptive statistics

#### 1.1 Introduction

In statistics, we deal with data. In particular, this data will probably be collected from a **sample**, which is a subset of a **population** that will hopefully be representative of the population.

*Example*: Let's say you want to know the percentage of people who like vanilla ice cream in a high school. If you survey 100 out of 500 students, then these 100 people's are a sample of the population.

This data, which can vary (so we call it a **variable**), can be a variation of one thing (univariate), two things (bivariate), or multiple things (multivariate).

Of course, this data will probably have some **randomness** to it, since a subset of a population never gives the whole picture. But, we can get close to it. And that is the beauty of statistics.

#### 1.2 Mean, median, and mode

The mean is the average of a sample. Sum all of the data in your sample set and divide by the set's cardinality, or how many things are in the set.

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n}$$

The median is the middle value of the ordered set.

$$\tilde{x} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^{th} \text{ ordered value if } n \text{ odd} \\ \text{Average of } \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{th} \text{ and } \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^{th} \text{ ordered value if } n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

The mode is the value that appears most frequently in the sample set.

### 1.3 Range and variability

The range is the smallest value subtracted from the largest value. It shows how widely varying the data is.

The sample variance is denoted  $s^2$ .

$$s^{2} = \frac{\sum (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}}{n - 1} = \frac{S_{xx}}{n - 1}$$

The standard deviation is the positive square root of the variance:  $s = \sqrt{s^2}$ . Both the variance and standard deviation are nonnegative.

## 1.4 Pictorial and tabular representations

Stem and leaf plots, dot plots, histograms, and boxplots (also known as box-and-whisker plots) are all ways to represent data. Each have their own benefits.

Histograms are better suited for closely-grouped and repeating data, while stem and leaf plots are better for widely varying, nonrepeating data. Dot plots are similar to stem and leaf but do not display all of the data. Boxplots are good at showing the variability of the data, but requires you to compute the first and third quartile of the data, in addition to the mean and minimum/maximum of the data.

# **Probability**

Probability takes whole populations and analyzes subsets of them. This usually includes the chance that you might get a certain subset, expressed as a decimal value between 0 and 1, inclusive.

### 2.1 Sample space, and events

In probability, we get subsets of populations through experiments. The **sample space** of an experiment, denoted by S, is the set of all possible outcomes of that experiment (Devore). This is somewhat of an abstract concept, but think of the ways you could flip a coin.

An **event** is any collection (subset) of outcomes contained in the sample space S. (Devore)

*Example*: Let H denote heads and T denote tails. Then, for two coin tosses, an event could be HH, TT, HT, or TH. Collectively, they are the sample space of the experiment.

#### 2.2 Set theory

It is helpful to treat events as sets so that we may use set theory to manipulate the representations. Given events A and B (Devore):

- 1. The **complement**, denoted by  $A^C$ , is the set of all outcomes in S that are not contained in A.
- 2. The **union** of A and B, denoted by  $A \cup B$ , is the set of all outcomes either in A or B or in both.
- 3. The **intersection** of *A* and *B*, denoted  $A \cap B$ , is the set of all outcomes in both *A* and *B* at the same time.

Union and intersection can be thought of as the OR and AND operations in Boolean algebra. Indeed, you can say "A or B" instead of "A union B" when describing  $A \cup B$ .

We define a **null event** as the empty set, denoted  $\emptyset$ , which is the event consisting of no outcomes at all.

Two sets *A* and *B* are **disjoint** if their intersection is the empty set.

$$A \cap B = \emptyset \iff A, B \text{ disjoint}$$

The set operations can be extended to more than two sets. For sake of clarity, it is best to use parenthesis to denote order of operations, although if there are no parentheses, then the complement has precedence over intersection, and intersection has precedence over union.

### 2.3 Axioms of probability

Given an experiment and a sample space S, the objective of probability is to assign to each event A a number P(A), called the probability of the event A, which will give a precise measure of the chance that A will occur. (Devore)

In order for us to determine probabilities, we first need to define some fundamental ground rules, called axioms.

**Axiom 1**: For any event A,  $0 \le P(A) \le 1$ .

**Axiom 2**:  $P(\emptyset) = 0$  and P(S) = 1.

**Axiom 3**: If  $A_1, A_2, ...$  is an infinite collection of *disjoint* events, then:

$$P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$$

What axiom 3 really means is that you can add up the probabilities of disjoint events. For instance, let's return to our example of two coin tosses. HH and TT are clearly disjoint events, so we can say the probability that  $HH \cup TT$  occurs,  $P(HH \cup TT)$ , is P(HH) + P(TT).

## 2.4 Subsequent properties of probability

Axioms were fundamental, but we can now create more properties of probability from these axioms.

**Complement property**. For any event A,  $P(A) + P(A^C) = 1$ . Thus, we can say  $P(A^C) = 1 - P(A)$  and vice versa.

**Inclusion-exclusion principle**. For any two events A and B,

$$P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$$

Intuitively, you may be tempted to say that the subtraction of the intersection is not needed, but it is in fact necessary because P(A) + P(B) double counts the elements that are intersecting. Remember,  $A \cap B \subseteq A$  ( $\subseteq$  means "subset of").

The inclusion-exclusion principle for three events A, B, C is:

$$P(A \cup B \cup C) = P(A) + P(B) + P(C) - P(A \cap B) - P(A \cap C) - P(B \cap C) + P(A \cup B \cup C)$$

It can be generalized to as many events as you want, but typically it is (painfully) derived from these lower forms.

#### 2.5 Combinatorics

We use counting methods to enhance our probability determinations.

5

**Product rule**. Suppose we have an event A that can broken down into a sequence of events  $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k$ . First, let the number of outcomes of  $E_1$  be  $n_1$  and so on. Then, the number of possibilities for A can be determined by  $n_1 n_2 \ldots n_k$ .

**Sum rule**. Suppose we have an event A that can be broken down into multiple possibilities of events  $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k$  that are all disjoint. First, let the number of outcomes of  $E_1$  be  $n_1$  and so on. Then, the number of possibilities for A can be determined by  $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k$ .

These two rules can be combined. For instance, you could have  $n_1(n_{2,1} + n_{2,2})n_3$ .

The number of ways to select a subset of size k from the set of size n is called a **permutation**. We denote this as P(n, k). It can be calculated by:

$$P(n,k) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$$

In permutations, the order in which we make these selections matters and all of the possible orders are included. If we do not care about order, then we should use **combinations** instead, which filter out all of the orders.

$$C(n,k) = \binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$$

We pronounce  $\binom{n}{k}$  as "n choose k".

If we make repetitions, then we get **permutations with repetition**, which is simple:  $P^*(n, k) = n^k$ . However, **combinations with repetition** are more nuanced. They can be determined by:

$$C^*(n,k) = \binom{n+k-1}{k} = \binom{n+k-1}{n-1}$$

This is most widely known as the stars and bars method, where there are n stars (items) separated by k-1 bars (effectively k boxes or partitions).

Now, it's important to note that permutations and combinations directly stem from the product rule. So, keep in mind that product rule works by decomposing an event into smaller independent events of a certain sequence, so you should aim to do the same with permutations and combinations, and use them with the regular product and sum rules.

### 2.6 Conditional probability

Sometimes, probabilities depend on previous events occurring. For instance, the probability that you'll be soaked is much higher when it rains than when it doesn't rain.

In this case, we'll use **conditional probability** to indicate this. Given events A and B, P(A|B) is the probability that A happens given that B has happened.

The conditional probability can also be thought of as the probability that both A and B occur, but out of the probability that B has occurred. This can be written as:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

Rewriting this equation yields the **multiplication rule**:

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A|B)P(B)$$

We call P(B) the **prior probability** (or the "before" probability). Then, the **posterior probability** (a fancy word meaning "after") is P(A|B).

Now, it is possible for you to switch between conditional probabilities and intersections. This definition is highly useful for conditional probability and is the basis of a very important rule called Bayes' Theorem.

First, we need to generalize the posterior probability to cover multiple disjoint events  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$ . We can formulate the **Law of Total Probability** from this:

$$P(B) = P(B|A_1)P(A_1) + \cdots + P(B|A_k)P(A_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} P(B|A_i)P(A_i)$$

This law simply extends the definition of conditional probability to breaking apart an event A into multiple disjoint events  $A_1, \ldots, A_k$ .

Given this law, we can now formulate Bayes' Theorem, which gives the posterior probability of a subevent  $A_j$  given that B has occurred. The simple form is  $P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$ , but when we have a disjoint series of events, use the form using the Law of Total Probability:

**Bayes' Theorem**. Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$  be a collection of k-many mutually exclusive and exhaustive events with prior probabilities  $P(A_i)$  where  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . Then, for any other event B for which P(B) > 0, the posterior probability of  $A_j$  given that B has occurred is: (Devore)

$$P(A_j|B) = \frac{P(B|A_j)P(A_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^k P(B|A_i)P(A_i)} \text{ where } j \in [1, k]$$

#### 2.7 Independence

Some events happen independently of each other, and this gives them special properties that make them easier to deal with in probability and statistics.

For instance, the probability that you will eat pizza for lunch outdoors and the probability that it's snowing in Australia are independent. However, the probability that you will eat pizza for lunch and the probability you get acid reflux are not independent, since pizza is a food that may cause acid reflux.

So, how can we define independence? By using conditional probability, the definition of independence says that the condition that an event will occur should not be affected by another event happening first.

$$A, B \text{ independent } \iff P(A|B) = P(A) \iff P(B|A) = P(B)$$

From this, we can also derive a multiplication rule for independent events.

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B) \iff A, B \text{ independent}$$

This means that if two events are independent, we can simply multiply their probabilities together to get their intersection's probability, which is very convenient.

We can extend the definition of independence to multiple events, meaning they will be **mutually independent** if for every  $k \in [2, n]$  and every subset of indices  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$ :

$$P(A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}) = P(A_{i_1})P(A_{i_2})\dots P(A_{i_k})$$

## Random variables

#### 3.1 Introduction to random variables

A **random variable**, shortened to **rv**, is a variable that can take on random values, usually the results of an experiment. Unlike in regular math, where we know the value of the variable is fixed, we do not know what the value of the random variable is. However, we can predict a pattern for it, which we will discuss later, not necessarily limiting its range but identifying areas of high probability of occurrence.

Definition of random variable (from Devore): For a given sample space S of some experiment, a **random variable (rv)** is any rule that associates a number with each outcome in S. In mathematical language, a random variable is a function whose domain is the sample space and whose range is the set of real numbers.

Random variables are denoted by uppercase letters, like X, Y, or Z, as opposed to lowercase letters, which are reserved for fixed variables.

There are two kinds of random variables: discrete and continuous.

**Discrete random variables** are reserved for cases where only countable integer possibilities exist. For instance, the number of coin flips required to get 4 tails is a discrete rv. It is not possible to need 13.75 coin flips for this to happen because coin flips are measured by discrete, countable numbers.

On the other hand, **continuous random variables** are for anything that isn't countable. The lifetime of a lightbulb, for instance, is a continuous rv, because it is possible the lightbulb works for exactly 2.3235842384824 years.

These random variables tend to fit distributions that have been created by mathematicians, which can model random variables very well depending on what the rv is for. Discrete and continuous distributions will be discussed in the next two chapters.

#### 3.2 Expected values

It's frustrating to use random variables because they don't have a specified value; they can be anything! Hence, we want to introduce some order into the randomness. It turns out if we identify a random variable's distribution, we can 'expect' a certain value from it. The expected value can be thought of as the mean value of the rv's distribution. The calculation for this depends upon whether the rv is discrete or continuous, but the purpose and concept are the same for both. We will explore how to mathematically find the expected values in many ways.

An expected value of some random variable X is usually denoted by E(X). Sometimes, we can also let the Greek letter mu denote expected value, with the subscript being the rv:  $\mu_X$ .

#### **Expected value of functions**

Sometimes, we need the expected value of not just a rv by itself but a function using a rv. We'll denote this general kind of function by h(X).

#### Expected value of a linear function

Let's say h(X) is a linear function. Then,

$$E(aX + b) = a \cdot E(X) + b$$

where a is a coefficient of the rv X and b is a constant additive factor.

#### Expected value of a quadratic function

This will actually depend on another concept called variance. But, it would be calculated by:

$$E(X^2) = Var(X) + [E(X)]^2$$

#### 3.3 Variance

While the expected value gives a probable mean value for a certain rv, the variance of the possible values resulting from this rv is also really important. If all of the data varies, then the expected value is not very useful. So, we need to determine the variance of the rv. Again, the manner of determination varies between discrete and continuous rv distributions.

Given the random variable X, the variance is denoted by Var(X); alternatively,  $\sigma_X^2$ . ( $\sigma_X$  is the standard deviation.)

However, we can determine the variance using the expected value.

$$\operatorname{Var}(X) = \operatorname{E}(X^2) - [\operatorname{E}(X)]^2$$

If you look back, this is how the expected value of a quadratic function can be determined.

#### Variance of a linear function

From this, we can also determine the variance of a linear function:

$$Var(aX + b) = a^2 \cdot Var(X)$$

Note that the constant factor disappears. This is because variance does not depend on any constant sliding factors, it only depends on the coefficient by which it varies.

# Discrete random variables and their distributions

As previously established, discrete random variables are those whose range only extends through the integers rather than the reals ( $X \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Therefore, discrete rv's are usually used for countable applications.

Discrete rv's tend to fit several general categories of probability distributions, which we will go over in this chapter.

# 4.1 Probability mass functions (pmf) and discrete cumulative distribution functions (cdf)

#### Probability mass functions (pmf)

We can usually use a function to model the probabilities that the random variable X will be equal to a certain value x in the range. We write this as

$$p_X(x) = P(X = x)$$

 $p_X(x)$  is known as the **probability mass function (pmf)**. (From Devore:) In other words, for every possible value of x of the rv, the pmf specifies the probability of observing that value when the experiment is performed.

#### **Cumulative distribution functions (cdf)**

The **cumulative distribution function** is basically the summation of the pmf, a discrete integral of sorts.

$$F_X(x) = P(X \le x) = \sum_{y = -\infty}^{x} p_X(y)$$

#### **Parameters**

A probability distribution may depend on another fixed value in order to calculate the pmf. Anything other than x is known as a **parameter**. For instance, later, you will see there is a

parameter for a Bernoulli distribution that determines the probability of a success. Since this might not make any sense, revisit this concept once you've seen a distribution.

#### Calculating discrete expected value and variance

The expected value is calculated as a weighted average. For discrete distributions, this is usually done through a summation of products.

Let X be a discrete rv with the set of possible values called D and pmf  $p_X(x)$ . Then, the expected value or mean value of X is: (Devore)

$$E(X) = \sum_{x \in D} x \cdot p_X(x)$$

For the expected value of a function h(X), it is slightly different:

$$E(h(X)) = \sum_{D} h(x) \cdot p_X(x)$$

The variance is also calculated in a similar way and can be linked to the expected value function. Let X have pmf p(x) and expected value  $\mathrm{E}(X)$ . Then the variance of X is:

$$Var(X) = \sum_{D} [x - E(X)]^2 \cdot p_X(x) = E([X - E(X)]^2)$$

The standard deviation of some random variable X,  $\sigma_X$ , is simply the square root of the variance:  $\sigma_X = \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}$ .

The computational formula for calculating variance was shared in the previous chapter and is an immediate consequence of  $E([X - E(X)]^2)$ .

#### 4.2 Bernoulli distribution

A Bernoulli distribution is when we expect the random variable to have two results, usually known as a success or a failure. A failure is modeled as P(X=0) while a success is modeled as P(X=1). This can be rewritten as p(0) and p(1) respectively. For instance, a single coin toss's results will be a Bernoulli distribution, because it will either be heads (which we can consider a success) or a tails (could be a failure, but we could reverse it, it's all up to you).

The Bernoulli has a parameter p, which is the probability of a success. The probability of failure is also sometimes known as q, but q = 1 - p, so we usually just need p. So a Bernoulli distribution is represented by:

$$X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(x; p)$$

**pmf**:  $p^x(1-p)^{1-x}$ 

**Parameters**: *p*, the probability of success.

**Domain of** x: x = 0, 1

**Expected value/mean:** E(X) = p**Variance:** Var(X) = p(1-p)

#### **Binomial distribution** 4.3

Binomial distributions arise when you have series of trials with only two results: success and failure (like in a Bernoulli distribution). However, the series of trials is the distinction here, and they must be independent of each other (the result of one trial should not affect the other). For instance, a series of coin flips could be represented by a binomial distribution. It uses the concept of combinations back from probability to establish the pmf.

The binomial distribution has two parameters: p, the probability of success, and n, the number of trials performed.

Here are the formal requirements for a distribution to qualify as binomial (Devore):

- 1. The experiment consists of a sequence of n smaller experiments called trials, where n is fixed in advance of the experiment.
- 2. Each trial can result in one of the same two possible outcomes: success or failure.
- 3. The trials are independent; the outcome of one trial doesn't affect any of the others.
- 4. The probability of success *p* is constant from trial to trial.

A binomial distribution is represented as:

$$X \sim \text{Bin}(x; n, p)$$

**pmf**:  $C(n, x) \cdot p^{x} (1 - p)^{n-x}$ 

**Parameters**: *p*, the probability of success; *n*, the number of trials.

**Domain of** x: x = 0, 1, 2, ..., n**Expected value/mean:** E(X) = np**Variance**: Var(X) = np(1-p)

#### Hypergeometric and negative binomial distributions 4.4

#### Hypergeometric distribution

The hypergeometric distribution is related to the binomial distribution. Whereas the binomial distribution involved choosing a certain item in each trial and replacing it for the next trial, the hypergeometric distribution does not replace the chosen item. It is a way to use the results of small samples to represent results of a large population.

A hypergeometric distribution is represented as:

$$X \sim h(x; n, M, N)$$

pmf: 
$$\frac{\binom{M}{x}\binom{N-M}{n-x}}{\binom{N}{n}}$$

**Parameters**: n, the sample size; M, the number of successes in a population; N, the population size.

**Domain of** x:  $x = [\max(0, n - N + M), \min(n, M)]$ 

Expected value/mean:  $E(X) = n \cdot \frac{M}{N}$ Variance:  $Var(X) = \left(\frac{N-n}{N-1}\right) \cdot n \cdot \frac{M}{N} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{M}{N}\right)$ 

#### Negative binomial distribution

The negative binomial distribution measures how likely it will take for r successes to occur in a Bernoulli trial, with probability of success in each trial being fixed at p. For instance, how likely is it I will need to buy 10 cereal boxes to collect all 3 different cereal box prizes, assuming each cereal box has one and only one prize?

$$X \sim nb(x; r, p)$$

**pmf**: 
$$\binom{x-1}{r-1}p^r(1-p)^{x-r}$$

**Parameters**: *r*, the number of successes needed; *p*, the probability of a success occurring.

**Domain of** x: x = 1, 2, ...

**Expected value/mean:**  $E(X) = \frac{r(1-p)}{p}$ 

Variance:  $Var(X) = \frac{r(1-p)}{p^2}$ 

#### Geometric distribution

The geometric distribution is a special case of the negative binomial distribution where we only need one success (i.e. r = 1).

$$X \sim \text{Geometric}(x; p)$$

**pmf**:  $p(1-p)^{x-1}$ 

**Parameters**: *p*, the probability of a success occurring.

**Domain of** x: x = 1, 2, ...

**Expected value/mean**:  $E(X) = \frac{1}{p}$ 

**Variance**:  $Var(X) = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$ 

#### 4.5 Poisson distribution

Poisson distributions are unlike the other distributions, but are best for modeling the number of events occurring in a timeframe, usually rare. The events occur independently, and the rate at which the events occur is constant. For instance, how many bridges will fail in a year? This can be modeled with a Poisson distribution.

$$X \sim f(x; \mu)$$

We use f to denote a Poisson distribution because poisson is the French word for fish.  $\mu$  is the Poisson parameter, usually determined through methods that are nontrivial, and which is the expected value and variance of the rv. We'll discuss how to find a possible  $\mu$  parameter later in the point estimation chapter.

pmf:  $\frac{e^{-\mu} \cdot \mu^x}{x!}$ 

**Parameters**:  $\mu$ , the Poisson parameter.

**Domain of** x: x = 0, 1, 2, ...

Expected value/mean:  $E(X) = \mu$ 

**Variance**:  $Var(X) = \mu$ 

## 4.6 Summary of discrete probability distributions

Name	me Params pmf $p_X(x)$		x	$\mathrm{E}(X)$	Var(X)	
Bernoulli	p	$p^x(1-p)^{1-x}$	x = 0, 1	p	p(1-p)	
Binomial	n, p	$C(n,x) p^x (1-p)^{n-x}$	$x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$	np	np(1-p)	
Hypergeometric	n, M, N	$\frac{\binom{M}{x}\binom{N-M}{n-x}}{\binom{N}{n}}$	$x = [\max(0, n - N + M), \min(n, M)]$	$n \cdot \frac{M}{N}$	$\left(\frac{N-n}{N-1}\right) \cdot n \cdot \frac{M}{N} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{M}{N}\right)$	
Negative binomial	r, p	$\binom{x-1}{r-1}p^r(1-p)^{x-r}$	$x = 1, 2, \dots$	r(1-p)/p	$r(1-p)/p^2$	
Geometric	p	$p(1-p)^{x-1}$	$x=1,2,\ldots$	1/p	$(1-p)/p^2$	
Poisson	λ	$\frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!}$	$x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$	λ	λ	
Uniform	n	1/n	$x = 1, 2, \dots, n$	(n+1)/2	(n+1)(n-1)/12	

# Continuous random variables and their distributions

Continuous random variables are those whose range extends throughout all of the reals rather than just the integers  $(X \in \mathbb{R})$ . Therefore, continuous rv's are usually used for uncountable applications.

Continuous rv's tend to also fit several general categories of probability distributions.

# 5.1 Probability distribution functions (pdf) and continuous cumulative distribution functions (cdf)

#### Probability distribution functions (pdf)

When dealing with discrete rv's, pmfs provided probabilities for one single discrete value. However, with continuous rv's, the main difference is that probabilities are spread across infinitely many possibilities, since the range is the reals. So, at a single point, P(X=x)=0 for any continuous distribution, since that single point is infinitesimally small.

Instead, we define a probability distribution using some function  $f_X(x)$ , which is the **probability density function** (pdf). From the pdf, we can find probabilities for ranges using integrals.

*Definition from Devore*: Let X be a continous rv. Then a pdf (probability density function) of X is a function  $f_X(x)$  such that for any two numbers a and b with  $a \le b$ ,

$$P(a \le X \le b) = \int_a^b f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

#### Continuous cumulative distribution functions (cdf)

A continuous probability distribution's cdf has the same concept as a cdf, but it's calculated differently. Instead of using a sum, we use an integral and integrate from negative infinity to the point a, where  $f_X(x)$  is the pdf.

$$P(X \le a) = \int_{-\infty}^{a} f_X(x) dx$$

#### Calculating continuous expected values and variances

These are again conceptually similar to their discrete version but have different formulae to calculate them.

$$E(X) = \int_{a}^{b} x \cdot f_X(x) \, dx$$

$$Var(X) = \int_{a}^{b} x^{2} \cdot f_{X}(x) dx$$

#### **Uniform distribution** 5.2

A **uniform distribution** is one with a flat pdf defined as follows:

$$X \sim U(x; A, B)$$

The uniform distribution has a smaller per-area probability the larger the spread is. When B-A is big, the individual pdf regions will be small, and the opposite is true too.

Since the pdf and cdf are piecewise functions, do any integrations for pdf/cdfs by adjusting the bounds of the integral to be between A and B.

$$\mathbf{pdf:} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{B-A} & A \leq x \leq B \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{cdf:} \begin{cases} 0 & x < A \\ \frac{x-A}{B-A} & A \leq x < B \\ 0 & x \geq B \end{cases}$$

**Parameters**: *A*, the lower bound; *B*, the upper bound.

Expected value/mean:  $E(X) = \frac{B+A}{2}$ Variance:  $Var(X) = \frac{(B-A)^2}{12}$ 

Mode: none

#### Normal distribution 5.3

A normal distribution, also known as a Gaussian distribution, is the most common continuous probability distribution. It's shaped like a bell so the most probable value is going to be its center, with its length determined by the variance of the data. When we don't know what kind of distribution a data is, we usually assume it is a normal distribution first. (This will be evident through the central limit theorem, which will be covered later.)

The two parameters of a normal distribution are  $\mu$  (the expected value) and  $\sigma^2$  (the variance). There are no complicated formulas to use to calculate the expected value; they are the parameters.

$$X \sim N(x; \mu, \sigma^2)$$

**pdf**: 
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

**Parameters**:  $\mu$ , the expected value;  $\sigma^2$ , the variance.

**Expected value/mean:**  $E(X) = \mu$ 

**Variance**:  $Var(X) = \sigma^2$ 

**Mode**:  $\mu$ 

#### Standard normal distribution

The cdf of the normal distribution is really difficult to calculate, so we use lookup tables if we ever need them. However, the cdf function changes for every combination of  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ . Consequently, we need a standard normal distribution to go off of. We want the mean to be centered in the middle, so we set it to be 0. Then, we want our variance to be balanced between too tight and too far, so we pick it to be 1. This is the **standard normal distribution**, N(0,1). Its cdf function is called  $\Phi_X$ . Whenever you want to get  $P(X \le x) = F_X(x)$ , you can get it from the phi function. However, how do we get any arbitrary normal distribution to be standard? You *standardize* it by subtracting the nonstandard  $\mu$  from the x value and dividing it by the nonstandard  $\sigma^2$ :

$$\Phi_X(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma^2})$$

This will result in  $\mu = 0$ ,  $\sigma^2 = 1$ , and you'll be able to use the phi function.

On the other hand, the inverse function also exists. If you know the value of  $P(\cdot)=p$ , then  $\Phi^{-1}(p)=\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma^2}$ .

## 5.4 Exponential, gamma, and beta distributions

The gamma function is used in the pdfs of all three functions. For  $\alpha > 0$ , the **gamma function** is:

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-x} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

#### Gamma distribution

The standard gamma distribution has  $\beta = 1$ .

$$X \sim \mathsf{Gamma}(X; \alpha, \beta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{pdf:} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\beta^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ & \mathbf{cdf:} \begin{cases} \int_{0}^{x/\beta} \frac{y^{\alpha-1} e^{-y}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \, \mathrm{d}y & x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

**Parameters**:  $\alpha$ , the shape parameter;  $\beta$ , the scale parameter.

Expected value/mean:  $E(X) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ 

Variance:  $Var(X) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}$ 

**Mode**:  $\frac{\alpha-1}{\beta}$ 

The gamma distribution is sometimes rewritten with  $\beta$  being replaced by  $\frac{1}{\beta}$ , which is valid but it's just another parameterization of the function.

#### Beta distribution

Commonly used to model proportions, the beta distribution uses the gamma distribution and has the special property of having positive density over a finite interval of length (Devore).

$$X = Beta(x; \alpha, \beta, A, B)$$

$$\mathbf{pdf} \text{: } \begin{cases} \frac{1}{B-A} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \cdot \Gamma(\beta)} \left(\frac{x-A}{B-A}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{B-x}{B-A}\right)^{\beta-1} & A \leq x \leq B \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Parameters**:  $\alpha$ , the shape parameter;  $\beta$ , the scale parameter; A, the lower bound; B, the upper bound.

Expected value/mean:  $E(X) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$ Variance:  $Var(X) = \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha + \beta)^2(\alpha + \beta + 1)}$ 

**Mode:**  $\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+\beta-2}$ 

The standard beta distribution is when A = 0 and B = 1.

### Chi-squared distribution ( $\chi^2$ )

This distribution is widely used for statistical inference, due to it representing the sum of squares of  $\nu$  independent standard normal random variables (Wikipedia).  $\nu$  (the Greek letter nu, not a v), in this case, is the number of degrees of freedom of the random variable.

The chi-squared distribution is a special case of the gamma distribution if  $\alpha = \nu/2$  and  $\beta = 2$ .

$$X \sim \chi^2(x; \nu)$$

$$\mathbf{pdf} \colon \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{\nu/2}\Gamma(\nu/2)} x^{(\nu/2)-1} e^{-x/2} & x \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Parameters**:  $\nu$ , the number of degrees of freedom.

**Expected value/mean:**  $E(X) = \frac{\nu}{4}$ 

**Variance**:  $Var(X) = \frac{\nu}{8}$ 

#### **Exponential distribution**

The exponential distribution is a special case of the gamma distribution (where  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta =$  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ ) is used for cases similar to the Poisson distribution, but when the data is continuous. It is important to note that the exponential distribution is memoryless. For instance, if we want to find the probability a lightbulb will burn out in 10 years given that it has been working for 5 years already, it's the same as finding the probability that a new lightbulb will burn out in 5 years.

$$X \sim \text{Exponential}(X; \lambda)$$

$$\mathbf{pdf:} \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 
$$\mathbf{cdf:} \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0 \\ 1 - e^{-\lambda x} & x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

**Parameters**:  $\lambda$ , the scale parameter ( $\lambda > 0$ ).

Expected value/mean:  $\mathrm{E}(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ Variance:  $\mathrm{Var}(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$ Mode: 0

## 5.5 Summary of common continuous probability distributions

Name	Params	$\operatorname{pdf} f_x(x)$	x	Mean/Expected value	Variance	Mode
Uniform	a, b	$\frac{1}{b-a}$	$a \le x \le b$	(b+a)/2	$(b-a)^2/12$	none
Normal	$\mu$ , $\sigma^2$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$-\infty < x < \infty$	$\mu$	$\sigma^2$	$\mu$
Exponential	λ	$\lambda e^{-\lambda x}$	$0 < x < \infty$	$\frac{1}{\lambda}$	$\frac{1}{\lambda^2}$	0
Gamma	$\alpha$ , $\beta$	$\frac{1}{\beta^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)}x^{\alpha-1}e^{-x/\beta}$	$0 < x < \infty$	$\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$	$\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}$	$\frac{\alpha-1}{\beta}$
Beta	$\alpha$ , $\beta$	$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}$	$0 \le x \le 1$	$\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$	$\frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha+\beta)^2(\alpha+\beta+1)}$	$\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+\beta-2}$

# Joint probability distributions

So far, we have only dealt with one random variable at a time. There are many times when multiple random variables need to be taken into account. This section covers what to do with two random variables, both of which are either discrete or continuous (no mixing).

#### 6.1 Two joint random variables

When we have two random variables, the joint pmf considers how much probability mass is placed on each possible pair of values (x, y). (Devore)

We define a joint pmf to be:

$$p_{X,Y}(x,y) = P(X = x \cap Y = y)$$

Any joint pmf function must be greater than zero, and its sum must be 1, just like a regular univariate pmf.

Now, in case we need some subset of the pmf A, we can sum up all of the values that fall within A.

For discrete rv's, we sum up each case that fits within our bounds.

$$P((X,Y) \in A) = \sum_{(x,y)\in A} p_{X,Y}(x,y)$$

For continuous rv's, we use a double integral over the appropriate region.

$$P((X,Y) \in A) = \int \int_A p_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dy \, dx$$

Remember that the order of integration can be swapped as long as it is a valid use of Fubini's Theorem.

Now, say you wanted to get the pmf for just one variable, X. Then, for every possible pmf value of X (let's call it  $x_i$ ), all of the pmf values of Y where  $X = x_i$  are summed together ( $y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_k$ , where k is the number of Y combinations). Represented as an equation, we get the **marginal pmf of** X:

$$p_X(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} p_{X,Y}(x, y_i)$$

Similarly, the marginal pmf of *Y* would be:

$$p_Y(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} p_{X,Y}(x_j, y)$$

These are sometimes just shortened to the term "marginal of X" or simply "the marginal" if it's obvious which one we're referring to.

For continuous random variables, we simply replace the sums with integrals. The variable of integration is the one that you're getting rid of.

$$p_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{X,Y}(x,y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$p_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{X,Y}(x,y) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

These rules can be extended for a quantity of random variables more than two.

### 6.2 Independent random variables

Using the definition of independence established in the probability chapter, we can say that two random variables *X* and *Y* are independent if, for every pair of values, the following is true:

$$p_{X,Y}(x,y) = p_X(x) \cdot p_Y(y)$$

If this doesn't hold true for every single pair of values, then *X* and *Y* are dependent.

#### 6.3 Conditional distributions

Distributions can be conditional on one another if they are dependent. This can be mathematically defined with a conditional pmf/pdf.

Let X and Y be two continuous rv's with joint pmf/pdf  $p_{X,Y}(x,y)$  and marginal X pmf/pdf  $p_X(x)$ . Then for any X value x for which  $f_X(x) > 0$ , the conditional pmf/pdf of Y given X = x is: (Devore)

$$f_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{p_{X,Y}(x,y)}{p_X(x)}$$

(for continuous cases, the bound is  $-\infty < y < \infty$ .)

This parallels the definition of conditional probability,  $P(B|A) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)}$ .

### 6.4 Expected values for joint random variables

The joint expected value can be found by simply replacing the univariate pmf/pdf  $p_X(x)$  with the joint pmf/pdf  $p_{X,Y}(x,y)$  in the definition of univariate expected values, and making sure the bounds are respective of both X and Y.

The following is true for any two rv's X and Y:

6.5. COVARIANCE 23

$$E(aX + bY) = aE(X) + bE(Y)$$

Furthermore, the following are valid for independent rv's *X* and *Y*:

$$Var(aX + bY) = a^{2}Var(X) + b^{2}Var(Y)$$

As a corollary, we can say that:

$$E(X - Y) = E(X) - E(Y)$$

$$Var(X - Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y)$$

The difference between two independent normally distributed rv's (not necessarily having the same  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  parameters) is also normally distributed.

These rules can be extended to any length linear combination of rv's.

#### 6.5 Covariance

**Covariance** is the measure of variability between two rv's, defined by their expected values:

$$Cov(X, Y) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y)$$

where  $E(XY) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xy \, p_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dy \, dx$  for continuous rv's. (For discrete rv's, replace the double integrals with double summations.)

The **correlation coefficient** is defined as such:

$$\rho_{X,Y} = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \cdot \sigma_Y}$$

where  $\sigma_X$  is the standard deviation of X, or  $\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}$ , and similarly for  $\sigma_Y$  as well.

When the correlation coefficient is 0, then the two variables are considered uncorrelated. In fact, if X and Y are independent, then  $\rho_{X,Y} = 0$  but the reverse is not necessarily true.

### 6.6 Conditional expected values, variances, and covariances

#### Law of iterated expectations

Conditional expected values sometimes appear. For instance,  $\mathrm{E}(Y|X)$ . Since Y depends on X,  $\mathrm{E}(Y|X)$  is actually a random variable instead of a fixed value. In order to calculate the expected value of solely Y, we must get the expected value of the random variable  $\mathrm{E}(Y|X)$ . This double expected value leads to an iterated chain of expected values, hence we get the **law of iterated expectations**.

$$E(Y) = E(E(Y|X))$$

#### Law of iterated variances

The same principle applies to variances, leading to the **law of iterated variances** (also known as the **law of conditional variances**).

$$Var(Y) = E(Var(Y|X)) + Var(E(Y|X))$$

#### Law of total covariance

The law of total covariance parallels the structure of iterated variances. In this case,  $\mathrm{E}(X|Z)$  and  $\mathrm{E}(Y|Z)$  are random variables that depend on Z.

$$Cov(X, Y) = E(Cov(X, Y|Z)) + Cov(E(X|Z), E(Y|Z))$$

## **Derived distributions**

A **derived distribution** is a distribution that arises from a random variable being passed through some function  $g(\cdot)$ . For instance, in the equation Y = g(X), we know that Y is derived from X by it being the result of the function  $g(\cdot)$ . Our goal is to determine the pmf/pdf and cdf of these derived distributions based off of information from the original random variable's distribution.

#### 7.1 Determination of derived distributions

For instance, let  $X \sim U(x; 0, 1)$  be our original rv. As we've just specified, it's uniformly distributed from 0 to 1. Then, let Y = 2X. How do we determine what the pdf of Y is?

We know that the pdf of X is  $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{B-A} = \frac{1}{1-0} = 1$ , by the definition of a uniform distribution. Thus, the cdf of X is  $F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(x') \, \mathrm{d}x' = x$  (from 0 to 1). However, how can we relate X and Y given that Y = 2X? The trick is that the sum of both

However, how can we relate X and Y given that Y = 2X? The trick is that the sum of both cdf's will be equal to 1 due to the fundamental probability law: all possibilities of a random variable must sum to 1. So, we can set the cdf's equal to each other, make substitutions, and determine Y's cdf.

Thus, we start from Y's cdf. We know that  $F_Y(y) = P(Y \le y)$ . Here, we replace Y with 2X based on the definition that we have been given. Hence, we get  $P(2X \le y)$ . From here, we make this  $P(X \le \frac{y}{2})$ , which equals  $= F_X(\frac{y}{2}) = \frac{y}{2}$ , so we get  $F_Y(y) = \frac{y}{2}$ .

Now that we have the Y cdf in terms of x, we need to differentiate to get the pdf of Y. This yields us  $\frac{dF_Y}{dy}(y) = f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore, the pdf in this case is  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Why couldn't we have just dealt with the pdf's without using the cdf's? Because whenever the bounds change, then we run into an issue. Be sure to keep bounds in mind when dealing with these derived distributions. In this case, the bounds were the same, but for more complicated cases, the bounds may change, such as if we had  $Y = \frac{1}{X}$ .

#### 7.2 Linear derived distributions

If X is a continuous rv with pdf  $f_X$  and Y = aX + b ( $a \neq 0$ ), then: (Bertsekas)

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{|a|} f_X(\frac{y-b}{a})$$

## Limit theorems

#### 8.1 Central limit theorem

The **central limit theorem** argues that all large data pools of independent identically distributed random variables  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  of *any distribution* tend to resemble a normal distribution, where the likelihood centers at a certain point with tails in both directions. This means we can treat all large data pools as normal distributions. What their mean and variance are, we do not know, but for most purposes, we just assume the variance is known.

### 8.2 Markov's inequality

For nonnegative random variables, Markov's inequality bounds the probability of large values to be very low the lower the expected value is. In other words, the smaller the mean is, the smaller the chance the random variable will take on a large value.

$$P(X \ge a) = \frac{E(X)}{a}$$

where a > 0.

#### 8.3 Chebyshev's inequality

Chebyshev's inequality has a similar premise as Markov's inequality. The smaller the variance of a rv is, the smaller the probability that it will fall outside a certain range from the mean/expected value. The inequality provides a strict numerical bound of the probability for some given range distance a.

$$P(|X - E(X)| \ge a) \le \frac{Var(X)}{a^2}$$

The probability's absolute value may trip up some; it's really just a compact way to write  $P(E(X) - X \le a \cup E(X) + X \ge a)$ , or  $P(E(X) - X \le a) + P(E(X) + X \ge a)$ . (However, it would be better to keep it in union form for sake of computing a.)

Special care should be taken when converting  $\leq$ ,  $\geq$  into <, > when dealing with discrete rv's.

## **Point estimations**

When we were dealing with probability distributions, they had parameters. The problem is, how do we know what the parameters are? Usually, we don't actually already know them. That is why we make an educated guess and roll with it. This guess is known as the **point estimate**. We'll describe two methods and how they can be used to find them likeliest value for the parameter.

A **point estimate** of some parameter (in general we call it  $\theta$ ) is a single number that can be regarded as a "best guess" for this parameter  $\theta$ .

How do we find this point estimate? Through different methods that we call **point estimators**. There's multiple ways to do it, each with their own benefits and drawbacks.

What's an example of a point estimate? If we have some data, it forms a statistic. If this statistic is approximately normally distributed, then the statistic's *sample* mean would be a point estimate for  $\mu$  (*population* mean/expected value), and its *sample* standard deviation would be a point estimate for  $\sigma$  (*population* standard deviation). While we're pretty sure they aren't the exact same, it's close enough.

### 9.1 Method of moments estimation

### 9.2 Maximum likelihood estimation

## Classical inferential statistics

Classical inferential statistics was invented several hundred years ago. Also known as frequentist statistics, the view is taken that the data you will receive is relatively random, but the parameters are static.

Using this view, we need to take the data we receive (the sample) and analyze it to make inferences about the population data. The inferences we make will always inherently contain some errors; in other words, there will always be some level of disconnect between the data. A sample will not be 100% accurate unless it has surveyed the entire population. However, it is usually infeasible, if not impossible, to survey an entire population. Therefore, we need to establish ways to make inferences from a sample, and come up with ways to justify the validity of this sample data in its capability to represent the population.

Some of the tools to do so include confidence intervals, the critical value method, and the P-value method. These aid in two concepts: statistical intervals and hypothesis testing.

Statistical intervals provide a level of leeway in the possible error range, though it trades off. Hypothesis testing, however, provides a way to ascertain whether the sample data support a certain hypothesis or not. Since there is no way to be certain using a sample, the critical value and P-value methods are two ways to establish a degree of certainty about whether we feel the null hypothesis is rejected or failed to be rejected.

### 10.1 Confidence intervals

While point estimations provide an estimate of the parameters, they provide no insight as to whether the range of correct answers lies within a certain degree of confidence. Therefore, confidence intervals provide a degree of precision of the data.

It is important to note that there is a difference between precision and accuracy. Precision is simply how close together data are, whereas accuracy implies there is some correctness behind the data. Since we are trying to *test out* the accuracy of our data, we cannot say confidence intervals provide a degree of accuracy; they only provide a measure of precision of the data.

A confidence interval is a range of plausible values that the real population mean  $\mu$  is within, to a certain degree of certainty. Degree of certainty is traded off for precision. For instance, if you wanted a 100% confidence interval, this would mean your interval stretches from  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ . Despite there being no doubt about the population mean  $\mu$  falling within this interval, this is obviously a useless confidence interval, as it is neither precise, nor does it give any useful insight as to where  $\mu$  really might fall.

Confidence intervals will usually assume we are working with a perfectly normal distribution, because of the large of law numbers, so this section solely focuses on confidence intervals relating to normal distributions. We will also make the assumption that we know the *population*'s standard deviation  $\sigma$ . This is not really a great assumption, because it is usually not possible to know the population's standard deviation before we even know the population's mean. In this chapter, we will work with the assumption that we know what  $\sigma$  is. Later, this assumption will be changed, once we can use better ways to approximate  $\sigma$ .

To provide a confidence interval that shows where  $\mu$  might lie, we need to use the sample mean  $\bar{X}$  as our center point and extend our range in both directions by a certain quantity.

Let's first standardize our interval, and start with the typical threshold of 95% confidence. This may seem to mean that the probability of  $\mu$  being within this range is 95%. However, from a frequentist point of view, we need to think about it in the long run. Therefore, 95% confidence means in 100 experiments, 95 of them will have a confidence interval that contains  $\mu$ . (This is extremely important, as this lends itself to the concept of **bootstrapping**, a computational statistics tool you can use in R or Python.)

Since we are using a standard normal distribution  $Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$ , this would be represented in an equation as:

$$P\left(\Phi^{-1}(-0.95) < \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} < \Phi^{-1}(0.95)\right) = 0.95$$

 $\Phi^{-1}(0.95) = 1.96$ . Therefore, this can be rewritten as:

$$P\left(-1.96 < \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} < 1.96\right) = 0.95$$

What this means is that we can get the bounds of our confidence intervals to be as follows (after some algebra and symbolic manipulation):

$$(\bar{X} - 1.96 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X} + 1.96 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}})$$

Therefore, you can use this above equation to calculate the 95% confidence interval for any normal distribution, assuming that you know  $\sigma$ . Another common level of significance is 99%. Since  $\Phi^{-1}(0.99)=2.58$ , you would replace 1.96 with 2.58 in the above equation to get the 99% confidence interval for a normal distribution. However, a 99% confidence interval will always be wider than a 95% confidence interval. Therefore, choose wisely, as you always need to make a tradeoff between precision and certainty.

Confidence intervals, however, rely on the law of large numbers to be accurate enough. If you want a confidence interval to be more precise, the sample size n must be high. n and width of the interval w are inversely proportional. Also, n becomes higher as  $\sigma$  becomes higher. (More variability means you need a larger sample size.) Taking the above equation, you can rewrite it in the following form to relate confidence interval width w and sample size n (Devore):

$$n = (2z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{w})^2$$

Given a  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  confidence interval,  $z_{\alpha/2} = \Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha)$ . We divide  $\alpha$  by 2 in z's subscript because there are two ends of a confidence interval. Therefore, a  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  confidence interval for the population mean  $\mu$  when the value of  $\sigma$  is known, is represented by the following:

$$(\bar{x} - z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}})$$

or equivalently  $\bar{x} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ . In words this can also be represented as (Devore):

point estimate of  $\mu \pm (z \text{ critical value}) \text{(standard value of the mean)}$ 

## 10.2 Hypothesis testing

In hypothesis testing, we have at least two hypotheses: the **null hypothesis**  $H_0$  (which is the default hypothesis or the status quo, akin to a control group or placebo in a scientific experiment) and the **alternate hypothesis**  $H_a$  (which is something that is not known to be true before the experiment, akin to the experimental group in a scientific experiment), and both claim opposite things of each other.

There are two possibilities which the data can show:

- The data could show there isn't strong enough evidence to show the null hypothesis can be rejected. We call this **fail to reject the null hypothesis**. Why don't we just say "accept" the null hypothesis? Just because our data can't reject the null hypothesis doesn't mean future data (better data) can't later reject the null hypothesis. In other words, future data might be able to reject the null hypothesis.
- The data could also show there is strong enough evidence to show the null hypothesis can be rejected. We call this **reject the null hypothesis**. Why don't we say "accept" the alternate hypothesis? Once again, future data could show that we in fact cannot reject the null hypothesis.

The null hypothesis  $H_0$  is defined as when  $\mu = \mu_0$ . The alternative hypothesis  $H_a$  is defined as one of the following:

- $\mu > \mu_0$  (upper-tailed)
- $\mu < \mu_0$  (lower-tailed)
- $\mu \neq \mu_0$  (two-tailed)

As shown above,  $H_a$  can be one- or two-tailed. The proper  $H_a$  to use solely depends on the real-life situation.

The two terms reject and fail to reject  $H_0$  are intentionally phrased in a conservative manner. They have to do with two different types of errors.

### Type I and II errors

• Type I error (false positive): When we reject the null hypothesis, but in fact, the null hypothesis was correct. This is widely considered to be the worse kind of error to make. In the United States, we minimize type I error in our court systems by using the principle of "innocent until proven guilty". We would rather let someone who committed a crime be incorrectly acquitted rather than someone who did not commit a crime be falsely convicted and have to serve jail time.

• **Type II error (false negative)**: When we fail to reject the null hypothesis, but in fact, the alternate hypothesis was correct. While this is a less severe error, it is still an error nonetheless and should be avoided whenever possible.

We prefer type II error over type I errors because it is usually disastrous to change the status quo if in fact the alternative hypothesis was incorrect. For instance, if a clinical trial incorrectly showed that a new drug was safe, when in fact it was not, this would be a serious type I error, and could kill people in the general public. For such reasons, type II errors are preferred over type I if one had to be chosen. Nonetheless, both should be avoided whenever possible.

Mathematically, type I errors are represented with the variable  $\alpha$  and type II with  $\beta$ . In fact, the probability of a type I error occurring is the exact same thing as the significance level's  $\alpha$  (in the expression  $100(1-\alpha)\%$ ). However, the value of  $\beta$  is not fixed. In fact, it tends to go up the closer the probability is to  $H_0$ , and approaches 0 as it gets closer to  $H_a$  (as it trades off with  $\alpha$ ). It is not possible to make  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  both 0 simultaneously.

An example of type I vs. II error:

- If a doctor told a biological male he was pregnant, the doctor would have made a type I error (false positive).
- If a doctor told a pregnant biological female she was not pregnant, the doctor would have made a type II error (false negative).

#### 10.3 Critical value method

The critical value method uses the confidence interval to determine whether to reject or fail to reject the null hypothesis  $H_0$ .

To evaluate which hypothesis holds more water according to your sample data, we usually go back to using a standard normal distribution and use a *z*-value to give us insight on how to proceed:

$$z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$$

Let's call that *z* the "*z*-score" of your data.

Now, recall that  $\alpha$  is the significance level you've picked. ( $\alpha$  is usually picked to be 0.05, 0.10, 0.01, etc.)

 $z_{\alpha}$ , the value of z that is necessary for  $P(Z>z_{\alpha})$  (for upper-tailed),  $P(Z<z_{\alpha})$  (for lower-tailed), or  $P(Z<z_{\alpha/2}\cup Z>z_{\alpha/2})$  to be equal to  $\alpha$  will be called the critical value. In fact, let's call this  $z_{\alpha}$  the  $z_{crit}$ . You shouldn't be calculating  $z_{crit}$  from the above formula with the  $\mu$ 's and  $\sigma$ 's. Instead, it's calculated by  $\Phi^{-1}(\alpha)$  or  $\Phi^{-1}(\alpha/2)$  depending on whether it's one- or two-tailed.  $z_{crit}$  is always independent of the data; it only depends on (1) the significance level  $\alpha$  and (2) whether it's one- or two-tailed.

So  $z_{crit}$  is your critical value. How do you use it?

For **one-tailed** tests, you simply use  $\Phi^{-1}(\alpha) = z_{crit}$  to determine the critical value. This is because you only need to add up the sum of the area under the curve on one end of the curve. In other words,  $P(Z < z) = \alpha$  (lower-tailed) or  $P(Z > z) = \alpha$  (upper tailed). For lower-tailed tests, the value of  $z_{crit}$  will be negative, though the absolute value of its critical value would be the same as if it were an upper-tailed test.

For **two-tailed tests**, you need to account for the fact that if there are two tails, then the sum of the area under the curve of both of those tails need to be accounted for. This means that  $z_{crit}$  for a two-tailed test where  $\alpha=0.05$  is NOT the same as for a one-tailed test where  $\alpha=0.05$ . In fact, you need to divide the  $\alpha$  by 2 before putting it into the inverse phi function, like so:  $\Phi^{-1}(\frac{\alpha}{2})$ . Therefore, the  $z_{crit}$ 's absolute value for a one-tailed test where  $\alpha=0.025$  (97.5% confidence) would be the same for a  $z_{crit}$ 's absolute value for a two-tailed test where  $\alpha=0.05$  (95% confidence).

#### 10.4 P-value method

The P-value measures the probability of further sample data being at least as extreme as the actual observed sample data assuming the null hypothesis  $H_0$  is true. It is a probability calculated assuming  $H_0$  is true. The lower the P-value is, the stronger that the odds favor  $H_a$  being true over  $H_0$  being true. However, it's not the probability that  $H_0$  or  $H_a$  is true or not, and it's also not the probability of there being an error. This misconception leads to a lot of misuse of the P-value in the field of science, so it's important to not use this to justify far-fetched conclusions.

Under the P-value method, for one-tailed tests, the P-value is compared to the significance level  $\alpha$ . (Remember that if the confidence percentage is 95% then  $\alpha = 0.05$ , if 99% then  $\alpha = 0.01$ , etc.) If the P-value is less than or equal to  $\alpha$ , then we reject the null hypothesis  $H_0$ . If the P-value is greater than  $\alpha$ , we fail to reject  $H_0$ .

Just as one- and two-tailed tests meant different ways to find the critical value, the same logic also applies to the P-value. For two-tailed tests, the P-value would be exactly double that of what it was for a one-tailed test evaluated at the same significance level  $\alpha$ .

However, the simplicity of using a P-value to conclude whether we can reject  $H_0$  or not shows the potential pitfalls of this method. For instance, if the sample size n is not large enough, it is perfectly plausible to obtain a P-value under  $\alpha=0.05$  or even  $\alpha=0.01$ , yet if more data were added, the P-value might instantly skyrocket to levels past 0.05, which causes you to fail to reject the null hypothesis.

Nonetheless, the simplicity of the *P*-value method compared to the critical value method has cemented its status as the predominant method used by classical/frequentist statisticians to test their hypotheses. Many scientific papers today published use the *P*-value to justify their alternate hypotheses' possible validity.

# **Bayesian inferential statistics**

In Bayesian inferential statistics, an opposite view is taken from frequentist statistics. Instead of assuming the data is random but the parameter is static, the data is assumed to be static (by the law of large numbers), and the parameter is random and unknown.

An example that Bayesians will use to justify their approach over frequentists: does yesterday's rainfall data necessarily justify whether it will rain today? No, so rather than using past data to make inferences, we will make an educated guess about what the data is and use Bayesian strategies to carefully decide the values of the parameters.

## Regression

Regression is an attempt to represent data by creating a mathematical function that tries to resemble the data as closely as possible.

When learning about regression, we always start with the simplest form: linear regression.

### 12.1 Linear regression in two dimensions

Linear regression is attempting to model data that's on a graph using a linear equation. For now, we will simply focus on two dimensions: one input, one output.

We'll call the input the predictor (X) and the output the response (Y). If we were to create a linear equation to represent the data with  $\beta_0$  as its **y-intercept** and  $\beta_1$  as its **slope**, then for every single point, we can represent it with the following equation:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + e_i$$

Where i is the ith point in a set of data. By point, we mean an ordered pair on a x-y coordinate graph. Keep in mind this equation is for *every individual point*. It does not represent the actual "main" linear regression equation. It is true that the  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  for the individual points equation will be the same as the actual "main" equation. However, the error value,  $e_i$ , won't be. This is because, for all actual real-world data, not every single point will perfectly match the output y value that the linear regression equation churns out. The difference between the predicted y value and the actual y value is noise in our equation, and it's called the **residual**.

Now, if we are to make the actual "main" linear regression equation, how do we determine it? Ideally, we want to minimize the total sum of the residuals  $\sum_i e_i$  somehow. Therefore, to get the estimates of the least residual sum's intercept and slope,  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  respectively, we need to select a method to do so.

There are multiple ways to regress data linearly. Some, while theoretically sounding interesting, are difficult to do in practice, because they require exhausting all of the different possible sum of the residuals for different  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  values. However, the most widely used method, called the **method of least squares**, has a mathematical equation for the estimates of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ , known as  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  respectively. (The hats mean these are 'estimates'.)

The method of least squares minimizes the sum of the square of the residuals  $\sum_i e_i^2$ . Not only does squaring have the property of eliminating negative values, but it provides simplicity in figuring out the  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  due to its properties. In fact, it's possible to derive it using calculus and linear algebra if you are given the sample means of X and Y ( $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  respectively):

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$$

Recall the equation for  $y_i$  has three parts.  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$  is known as the fitted equation, and  $e_i$  is known as the residual. Because  $y_i$  is comprised of both a fitted and residual part, we can split it up into two parts:

$$y_i = \hat{y}_i + e_i$$

Where  $\hat{y}_i$  is the fitted value. Using the method of least squares for the fitted part of the equation, we get the equation for  $\hat{y}_i$  to be:

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$$

Using these values, you can finally form the actual "main" linear regression equation, which does not account for errors:

$$\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x$$

To find out the residual for each point, you then use algebra to derive that  $e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$ , or  $e_i = y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$ .

### 12.2 Polynomial regression in two dimensions